

SCORING – RANKING – SELECTION

HUD expects CoCs to implement a thorough review and oversight process at the local level for new and renewal project applications submitted in the FY2021 CoC Program Competition. All complete, timely, and eligible new and renewal applications will be scored by the CoC Review and Ranking Committee using the TX-624 Rating and Ranking Tool FY2021-New Applications and TX-624 Rating and Ranking Tool FY2021-Renewal Applications. Due to the competitiveness of the CoC Grant, submission of projects in e-snaps does not guarantee that a project will be accepted and ranked. Applicants who believe they were denied the opportunity to participate in the local CoC process or who were rejected or reallocated may appeal directly to HUD by submitting a Solo Application.

For new projects, the scoring and ranking evaluates the applicant's aptitude to operate the project, cost effectiveness of the proposed project, quality of the application, and addressing identified needs. Renewal projects are evaluated on past performance, cost effectiveness, and performance measures. Planning projects are not included in ranking. All CoC funded projects must align with HUD's policy priorities which include but are not limited to ending homelessness, allocating and strategic use of available resources, increasing income and methods to become self-sustaining.

All applications, New and Renewal, must:

- Meet submission deadlines (failure to meet submission deadlines can result in project not being accepted)

The CoC Review and Ranking will be included in the CoC application submitted to HUD.

FY2021 TX-624 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition
Project Scoring Tool

A. Agency and Project Information (Unscored)

B. Scoring and Ranking	<u>Points</u>
1. Agency Experience and Capacity	30
2. Scope of Project	20
3. Project Goals and Objectives	25
4. Community Priorities	10
5. Budget	15
Scoring and Ranking Total Points	100

- A. Agency and Project Information – Will provide the name of the agency and name of the project as applicable. The agency will provide the information on the total budget for the project, the project component type, and identify project participants by subpopulation. This portion of the submittal will also provide necessary documents such as the budget forms, list of Board Members, written Policies and Procedures and others as applicable. Participants must show that the programs will adhere to The Equal Access/Fair Housing agreement and the program can be functional in the allotted time frame.
- B. The Scoring and Ranking tool listed shows the overall major elements on which the projects will be ranked. These categories will have a break-out to show the factor scored and the point given for each factor. The following are measures in the scoring tool that would be reviewed/assessed.
 - a. Agency Experience and Capacity
 - i. Experience providing assistance to homeless individuals
 - ii. Organization and management
 - iii. Experience with federal funds
 - iv. Agency Evaluation process for its services
 - b. Scope of Project
 - i. Project Description
 - ii. Staffing
 - iii. Community contacts and working within the community
 - c. Project Goals and Objectives
 - i. Assisting with gaining housing and retention
 - ii. Outreach to bring in participants
 - iii. Discharge
 - d. Community Priorities
 - i. Increasing housing for underserved populations
 - ii. Special populations (DV, Adults w/o children, etc.)
 - e. Budget