

## TX-624 CoC Scoring Tool for Renewal Applications

<b>APPLICANT</b>	
<b>PROJECT NAME</b>	
<b>PROJECT TYPE</b>	

The TX-624 CoC Grant Review Committee will use the following criteria to rate the renewal project applications for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) 2019 Continuum of Care (CoC) Program Competition funding. This scoring tool has four main determinants:

- Organizations have demonstrated the ability to run effective programs (can manage and administer a HUD-funded program, can operate on reimbursement basis, have experience servicing this or a similar population)
- The projects reflect the goals and priorities established as part of HUD's priorities for permanent supportive housing and serving the chronically homeless
- Actively participate in the local CoC and actively input data into the HMIS system.
- Demonstrated that funded projects have utilized previous funding according to HUD's financial expectations for program guidelines

### APPLICATION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS:

For each requirement, "Yes" will be selected if the project has provided reasonable assurance that the project will meet or has been given an exception from HUD or the CoC. Each Yes equals 2 points; No equals zero points.

	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>N/A</b>
1. Applicant has active SAM registration with current information and maintains an active SAM registration annually.			
2. Applicant has valid DUNS/TIN/EIN number in application.			
3. CoC Program Eligibility – Project applicants and potential sub-recipients meet the eligibility requirements of the CoC Program as described in the Act and the rule and provide evidence of eligibility required in the application.			

<p>4. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts – It is HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 37208 and 28 U.S.C. 3201 (e), that applicants with outstanding delinquent federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds, unless:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or</li> <li>b. Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD.</li> </ul>			
<p>5. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions – in accordance with 2 CFR 2424, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal Government.</p>			
<p>6. Mandatory Disclosure Requirement - Disclosed any violations of Federal criminal law – Applicants must disclose in a timely manner, in writing to HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal aware. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in 2 CFR §200.338. Remedies for noncompliance including suspension or debarment. This mandatory disclosure requirement also applies to subrecipients of HUD funds who must disclose to the pass-through entity from which it receives HUD funds.</p>			
<p>7. Submitted the required certifications as specified in the NOFA.</p>			
<p>8. Demonstrated the population to be served meets program eligibility requirements as described in the Act, and project application clearly establishes eligibility of project applicants. This includes any additional eligibility criteria for certain types of projects contained in the NOFA.</p>			
<p>9. Agreed to participate in HMIS - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, must agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in</p>			

<p>accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient must not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers must use a comparable database that complies with the federal HMIS data and technical standards. While not prohibited from using HMIS, legal services providers may use a comparable database that complies with federal HMIS data and technical standards, if deemed necessary to protect attorney client privilege.</p>			
<p>10. Met HUD Expectations – When considering renewal projects for award, HUD will review information in eLOCCS: Annual Performance Reports (APRs); and information provided from the local HUD CPD Field Office, including monitoring reports and A-0133 audit reports as applicable, and performance standards on prior grants. HUD will also assess renewal projects using the following performance standards in relation to the project’s prior grants:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Performance met the plans and goals established in the initial application, as amended;</li> <li>b. Demonstrated all timeliness standards for grants being renewed, including those standards for the expenditure of grant funds that have been met;</li> <li>c. Performance in assisting program participants to achieve and maintain independent living and records of success, except HMIS-dedicated projects that are not required to meet the standards; and</li> <li>d. There is evidence the applicant has previously been unwilling to accept technical assistance and/or has a history or inadequate financial accounting practices</li> </ul>			
<p>11. Met HUD financial expectations. Failure to meet expectations would include:</p>			

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Outstanding obligation to HUD that is in arrears or for which a payment schedule has not been agreed upon</li> <li>b. Audit findings(s) for which a response is overdue or unsatisfactory</li> <li>c. History of inadequate financial management account practices</li> <li>d. Evidence of untimely expenditures on prior award</li> <li>e. History of other major capacity issues that have significantly affected the operation of the project and its performance</li> <li>f. History of not reimbursing subrecipients for eligible costs in a timely manner, or at least quarterly</li> <li>g. History of serving ineligible program participants, expending funds on ineligible costs, or failing to expend funds within statutorily established timeframes.</li> </ul>			
<p>12. Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities – Applicants are subject to the provisions of Section 319 of Public Law 101-121, 31 U.S.C. 1352 (the Byrd Amendment), and 24 CFR part 87, which prohibits recipients of federal awards from using appropriated funds for lobbying the executive or legislative branches of the Federal government in connection with a Federal award. NOFO applications submitted included the signed Certification Regarding Lobbying, and Standard Form LLL, “Disclosure of Lobbying Activities.”</p>			
<p>13. Demonstrated Project is Consistent with Jurisdictional Consolidated Plan(s) - All projects must be consistent with the relevant jurisdictional Consolidated Plan(s). The CoC will be required to submit a Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan at the time of application submission to HUD.</p>			

14. Equal Participation of Faith-Based Organizations in HUD Programs and Activities ensuring that all projects meet the requirements under 24 CFR 5.109.			
15. Resolution of Civil Rights Matters – Outstanding civil rights matters be resolved before the application submission deadline.			

**PROJECT PERFORMANCE SCORING:**

<b>Population</b>	<b>Possible Points</b>	<b>Project Score</b>
<b>Length of time between project start date and housing move-in-date</b> What is the percentage of program participants placed in PSH housing within 30 days that meet chronically homeless	100% - 80% = 10 79% - 60% = 8 59% - 40% = 6 39% - 20% = 4 19% or less = 0	
<b>Exits to permanent housing</b> Did 90% or more program participants remain in PSH or move from PSH to PH?	90% or more = 25 89% - 85% = 20 84% - 80% = 15 79% - 75% = 10 74% - 70% = 5 69% or less = 0	
<b>Returns to Homelessness</b> Did 15% or less program participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH?	15% or less = 15 16% - 20% = 5 21% or more = 0	
<b>*New or increased income and earned income</b> For program participants who remained in the program, did 8% or more increase their income?	15% or less = 15 16% - 20% = 5 21% or more = 0	
<b>*New or increased income and earned income</b> For program participants who remained in the program, did 10% or	10% or more = 5 Less than 10% = 2.5	

more increase their non-employment income?		
--	--	--

**\* Note: This question does not apply to DV applications and should not be included in their final score.**

**EFFECTIVENESS OF PROJECT:**

Housing First	Yes – 10 No - 0	
Did 80% or more of program participants enter the program with zero earned income	80% or more -10 79% or less - 0	
What percentage of the project’s PSH beds are dedicated for chronically homeless Participants?	100% - 10 points 99% and below: 0 pts	

**CoC STATUS:**

Active participating member of the CoC	Yes – 10 pts    No – 0 pts	
Utilizes Coordinated Entry	Yes – 10 pts    No – 0 pts	
Acceptable organizational audit/financial review	Yes – 10 pts    No – 0 pts	

**TOTAL SCORING:**

**Total Possible Scoring:**

\*Scoring is calculated for the applicable renewal project: PSH

Application Threshold: Total Points = 30

Project Performance: Total Points = 70

Effectiveness of Project: Total Points = 30

CoC Status: Total Points = 30

Score: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

*\*The only renewal project is a PSH so the rating tool was modified to rate a PSH project only*